G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

File No. 3[illegible]2.

1921

Serial Nos.

( )

6

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for [illegible]

SUBJECT.

Petition to Sir Reginald Craddock

re Enquiry into Expenses

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

W D, 2238—G P B—20,005—1d-6/20

1919

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No 363 / 19

FILE HEADING

Petition from Kariyappa of Mallappanahalli re Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at the place.

From

Kariappa

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 31-1-1920 Received

Diary No. 1505] Enclos 5 Spare Copies [Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Prays that as directed in G.O. no. 1641-Muz. 363-16 Dy 25-1-18, status quo ante may be restored with regard to the said temple, and the parties left to settle

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Their dispute is a court of Competent jurisdiction

The temple of Sri Ranganatha Swami is a non-Muzrai inam the Taluk Board, having interfered without jurisdiction and appointed the respdt as Pujari thereto,

-First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 363 of 1916

Serial No.

on appeal by the present petitioner the seizure of the local authorities was cancelled, and status quo ante was directed to be restored the parties being left to settle their dispute in a Court of Competent jurisdiction.

S-O no 1641 of Mug 363-16, 28-1-18. 3

√ The petr thereupon approached the Dy. Commr for the restoration of status quo ante, but he was refd to Courts for redress.

S.T.O (Mudki)

2 He now prays that as per said orders, status quo ante may be restored & thereafter the parties be refd to Court of Competent jurisdiction.

Submitted for orders

13.2.20 13/2/20

FC 14

From the Dy's Chittabas dy. 21 June 1917 it will be seen that Kamappa was doing duty as Patel & that he was disturbed & the office was given by the Asst's Japalan Ajja & Savas Malyaji's

NOTE—Contn sheet

1505

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 303 of 1916

Serial No.

H. 2

The Govt on the appeal of Kanappa, cancelled the Asst's order & directed that Status Quo Ante should be restored, leaving the parties to settle their disputes themselves.

Under this order, Kan who was the Appellant displaced by the Asst's order, should have been restored to the office. ~~Kanappa having failed to do so.~~

If the Govt order in this respect has not been carried out, as alleged by the Appellant, then the Govt's attention may be drawn to what is due to the Appellant under the Govt order.

The Appeal petition may be referred to the Dy Commr for report & the Records.

AMS 14.2.20

(To Secy)

Yes W 28/2/20

AMS 28.2

(Accepted)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

AL 2.3.20

1605 4

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Jud File No. 363 of 1916

Serial No	
	To Submit with a DP on 3.3.2021 S/Spo dtd 5.3.21 See) Jud Ug 8/3/21
6.	To D.T. Erukattoor No. 3123 dt. 24.3.20. forwarded the petition for report with remarks.
7.	Perum, the Dy Coll, Chittoor in Letter no 388 dt 10.7.20. Reports that, for reasons stated, the order passed in Pro no 611 dt 25.1.18 could not be carried into effect, owing the fact circumstances under which the petn had access to a civil Court by his predecessor. The temple in question is a non- Muzrai inam. The local authorities interfered in regard to its affairs without jurisdiction, and removed the present petn Kuriappa from the Archakship, and appointed Rama Kanagayya in his

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

Jagalmappa in Main State, On appeal to Suit by Kanappa, the President first Members of Council hear the parties, and Cancelled the order of the local authorities appealed against and directed the restoration of State pursuant after which the parties should be referred Civil Court for left to themselves to settle their dispute (See SD no 1614, 25 / 15)

13 On complaints from Kanappa, that the order of Suit Directing his restoration to ha & Hubli cannot into effect, The Dy Com ha & Inspr as called for, his report with the reasons of refusing.

14 From the Inspr's report 1913, it is seen that the village continued into parties there the Ex patel Jagalappa and Sanna Ganapappa have a large following, that as they refused therefore to hand over the Charge to Kanappa, he advised them to do so in order to apprehending breach of peace there, That he went to the village in same evening with the police Inspector, Mundgod

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 363 of 1916

Serial No.

Were Police Constables, but the parties had been brought up even though he stopped in the village for 2 days, they could not be found, and whether the rules of a Seaport warrant by the Dy Comr. On 11 12 18, The Dy Comr in his Jahirnama made Ended that a Kanappa appeared before him to show the parties, the Brahman might be let off and Spot Enquiry for having eaten the flesh of him (Kanappa).

Both Jagalappa and Sunna ranyappa stated before the Inquiry H. Nanjunda Rao on 1-1-19 that that they were Lakkeri Pujaris, that they could not then have eaten change of orders, that the Virjanka was, with them, that then brothers Ranyappa was in the puja, that they were doing Cardamom business in 5 years. Even that the Pujaris change was not till then since a year

19 Dr a Mahazar was drawn up on the same date, it is stated that though the Ex. Jobs were found to be untrue.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 362 of 1916

Serial No.

that they could be punished under the Criminal Law for disobeying an order of Govt, they stated that the Puja charge was not with them and that they were not doing Puja and that as they were themselves harijans, they could not have such charge as ordered by Govt.

W

The Tahsildar Sri N Narayana Rao reported on 11.1.16 that the two Harijan Village, that Revd. Sambaiah and some of the leading ryots visited in the C.R. petitioners was prevented effecting to punish as per G.O. that he to day Govt, that unless these people kept in view the "Boycott" of Shikaris it did not seem that they could have such charge as per order of Govt. He further under 4 persons more people under Sec. 188 of I.P.C. for having defied order of Govt, and that till some such thing was done, the village could not be brought under control and that the undesirable disturbing village elements were following Govt in defying Govt's orders.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 363 of 19 16

Serial No.

He further stated that if so ordered, he will break open the boxes in the presence of the Panchayatdars and let the charge handed over after which the keeping power ceases, once again that he would take steps to prosecute these people, and that the temple has a thousand rupees of property.

(4) On 22nd June, 1915, the Deputy Commissioner submitted a memorandum requesting the petitioner to count the number of the Amildar to take steps under the Rules if there was a breach of peace in the village, and that the Amildar might take steps of the removal of the idol and Sankey. The petitioner Deputy Commissioner has been directed to interfere with the order of his predecessor.

(5) The Deputy Commissioner's report is rather disappointing. The first have ordered that status quo ante should be restored and the Amildar as Taluk Magistrate and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

77 9

File No. 363 of 1916

Serial No.

The Dy Comr as District magistrate should see that the orders of Govt are carried out without the parties causing a breach of the peace.

The Dy Comr may perhaps be asked to see that Govt orders in the matter are complied with at once and a report submitted to that effect for the info of Govt.

RA 24.7.20

V/ For Orders

24/7/20

To Secy

V/ If when the Sheikh goes to the temple to deliver possession the objectors are present the latter should be removed and delivery effected. If on the other hand they should be absent and the door is locked the lock should be removed and possession given. An inventory of all the articles found in the temple should also be prepared. The Dy Comr may follow the procedure laid down in ~~Order~~ Rule 35, Order 21, C.P. Code, Instead

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 363 of 19 16

Serial No.

600-255
25-7-20.

Yesterday's Secret Meeting) UJ 26/7/20

The order of Govt. shd be given effect to. It is strange that the D.C. of Nagla shd feel himself unable to give effect to an order of Govt. It reflects little credit on the administration of the Dist. The D.C. may be asked to report result of action taken before the 31st Aug next.

Reed
30.7.20.

Draft -
AWL.
30.7.20

AV 31/7/20

RG 2/8/20

AWL 2/8/20.

To Secy.

715-28
3.8.20.

Secret Meeting) UJ 3/8/20

Draft approved
Reed
3.8.20

Issue today
UJ 4/8/2
AWL 4/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

S. III-2]

1892-3

VI

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 363 of 1916

Serial No.

8. So, &c. stating
No. 4270 S. A. & R. D.
directs that the order of Govt.
641 – Emg. 363-16 S. 25.1.1918.
be given effect to immediately
& requests Govt report the results
achieved taken before the end of
Aug. 1920.

Sd/- D. Hamilton
17/9/20

Sd/- 18/9/20

Sd/- 22/9/20

Sd/- 23/9/20

Sd/- 23/9.

Reminder issued

Sd/- 18/11/20

Forwarded

Sd/- 29.11.20

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

1023

K. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

33

Yes. UJ 30/11/20

AUL 30.11.20

A.V. 30/11

Dft- Endorsement

M/6 n-w AUL 28/12/20

Today)

Ref- Item 24.

~~It~~ The order of Court has been given effect to.

after all! Rule is Endorsed

Record Mention) UJ 5/12/20

3315. 237

11.12.20

Dont a proceed

Bill.

3.12.0

Done

UJ 13/12/20

AUL 14.12.20

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to. .....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *191* .

Date of issue..... 24-3-20

Signed by.....

Section Kuzhan

File No. 363-16

Serial No. 6

No. 3123 Ema Sec

To

The Dy Commr,

Chitaldrug Dt.

Sir,

I ~~am directed to forward~~

herewith petition (with 5 encls).

Regd no 1505 from Kariappa

of Mallapanahalli in the

Hiriyur Taluk complaining

~~that~~ effect has not been given

to the directions conveyed

in Govt order no 1661-

Mus 363-16 dt 25-1-1918

in the matter of the

over.

Mahant of Shri
Ramanuja Swami
Temple at the place.
I am to request that
you will be so good as
to report on the petn.
representation with the Govt. Journal
the records of the case.

I have etc.

Sd/ Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Dept.

Sd
5/3/20

5-3-20

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

S C 388 rev 2 19-20
10.7.20 Encl 2

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 10th July 1920.

C. Venkata Rao Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
CHITALDRUG.

T. [illegible] Iyengar Esquire B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 7197/Miz.37-19-3 dated [illegible] calling for a report on the representation of one [illegible] Malaya Hally, Harihar Taluk regarding the effect [illegible] to be given to Government order No. [illegible] dated January 19[illegible] in the matter of the Arcanalship [illegible] of the village. I have the honor to state as follows:-

After the receipt of the Government order the Amildar proceeded [illegible] and tried to get the panchayat settled [illegible] effect to the Government order but failed for a second time as the [illegible] purpose.

On the 30th July 1919 the Amildar again went to the village and tried to carry out the Government order. The [illegible] to be allowed either. During the last time the [illegible] objected to and [illegible]. On the 2nd and 3rd [illegible] village, the Amildar [illegible] from them peacefully from the [illegible].

The appellants on 16th December 1919 again petitioned to the [illegible] the parties. Thereupon the Amildar again went to the village on 7th January 1920, sent for the parties and the [illegible] advised them to settle the matter amicably among themselves. [illegible] refused to [illegible] hand over charge saying that they were

dars, that another man named Doddarangappa one of the hakdars was performing the puja in his turn and that [illegible] the temple was with him. Then the Amildar found it impracticable have the charge handed over to the appellant without a breach of the peace. Mr. Zahiruddin Moosi, my predecessor gave an order to the appellant that he should have recourse to a court of competent jurisdiction and that the Government could not interfere in the matter.

As orders were passed by my predecessor, I did not consider it necessary to give any judgment also to the petitioner to the effect that I cannot interfere with the orders already passed.

The Vernacular records relating to the case are herewith sent for reference and the appeal petition returned.

I have the honour to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... .....

Diary No. of letter replied to... ... .

Section
File No. 363-16
Serial No. 8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

, the 191 .

No.

Date of issue ....

Signed by . . ..

To The Dy Comr
Chitaldrug Dt.

Sir

Adverting to your letter
No. S.C. 388 of 10th Aug 20 ~~regarding~~ explaining
~~the decision conveyed in G.O No.~~
of the Post-Conveyed in No. No. 1041 item 363-16 of 25th Jan 18
in the matter of the restoration
of the status quo ante in
respect of the archakship of
Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple
at Malleppanahalli Hiriyur
Taluk, was not given effect to,

over.

I am to observe that in the opinion of the Govt, it is strange that the Dy Com and District magistrate should have felt himself unable to give effect to an order of the Govt, and that this reflects little credit on the administration of the District. The Govt therefore decide that their order should be given effect to and I am also to request that you will be so good as to report the result of action taken before the 31st August 1920

losures.

I have &c

Ujn 3/8/20

Secy War Dept

By 2/8/20 Illegible 2/8/20

Counsel in.

Examined by.

WL 1714 (11) 30(00) (C)(1)

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Date Chitaldrug, 8th November 1920.

C. Venkata Rao Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
C H I T A L D R U G.

T. R. Jayarama Iyer Esquire B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to Government,
Uzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.470/ Uz.CC-13-C dated 5th August 1920 directing that the order of Government in the matter of the restoration of status quo ante with respect to the Archakship of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Hallimaralli, Hiriyur Taluk, should be given effect to, I have the honor to state that the appellant Kari Gowda has been restored to his place with effect from the 11th September 1920.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

1023



2053 191

From

The Deputy commissioner
Chitaldrug district
Chitaldrug

To

The Secretary to government
Mysore Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 8.11.1920

Received 10 NOV

General No. RDis 27 Rev 20-21.
No.

Enclosure No. —

Reporting that the order of the government in the matter of the restoration of status-quo-ante with respect to the Archakship of Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Mallapanahally, Hiriyur Taluk, has been given effect to.

III—8]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B – Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of **Mysore.**

Date of issue 10-12-28

Signed by.....

Read—

Govt order no 1641 – Mus 363-16 Dt 25-1-18 Setting aside the order of the Local authorities in the matter of the appointment of the respondents – Basalurappa and Sannarangappa – as Pujaris of Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple, at Nonmuznai in Sta at Malappanahalli in the Hiriyur Taluk; and the restoration of status quo ante, the parties being left to settle their disputes or to have recourse to a court of competent jurisdiction.

Petition regd. no 1505 from Kariappa of Malappanahalli

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

Himself dated ... praying that he
as directed in the foregoing order,
status quo ante may be restored,
~~[illegible]~~

Correspondence ending with
letter no. R. Dis 27 dy 8.11.20
from the Dy. Commr, Chitaldrug
Dist, reporting that the pet.
Kariyappa has been restored
to his place with effect from
the 15th Septr 1920.

Order

Recorded.

13.12.20
List of enclosures.

9/12/20

Asst Secretary.

8/12

Dy
Dy. Commr, Chitaldrug
Dist.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—17-3-19